POSTOPERATIVE PAIN CONTROL IN AMBULATORY SURGERY

Doris Tong, MD, MSc-HRM, FRCPC, and Frances Chung, MD, FRCPC

By the end of the twentieth century, more than 70% of all elective procedures in the United states will be performed on an ambulatory basis.¹²⁴ Postoperative pain is one of the main barriers to increasing the range of ambulatory procedures. Persistent pain has been shown to lead to postoperative nausea and vomiting,⁵ delayed discharge,²⁴ contact with medical facility after discharge,⁴⁷ and unanticipated admissions.^{46, 48} The rapid, immediate recovery afforded by the use of new, short-acting anesthetic agents has led to the concept of fast-tracking, bypassing the postanesthetic care unit (PACU)⁶; however, the economic benefits for fast-tracking will not be realized unless postoperative pain is well controlled.

This article outlines the following areas: the assessment of postoperative pain, the current state of postoperative pain control, identification of at-risk groups, and general principles in planning pain-control strategies. Evidence for multimodal analgesia, preemptive analgesia, and analgesic techniques specific to common outpatient surgical procedures is presented. Finally, uncommon, nonpharmacologic techniques and new opioid delivery systems are reviewed.

ASSESSMENT OF POSTOPERATIVE PAIN

Pain, being a subjective phenomenon, is best assessed through direct estimation by patients using the visual analogue scale (VAS), or the

SURGICAL CLINICS OF NORTH AMERICA

VOLUME 79 • NUMBER 2 • APRIL 1999

From the Department of Anaesthesia, University of Toronto; and the Department of Anaesthesia, The Toronto Hospital, Western Division, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

verbal form, the verbal rating scale. Recognition and quantification of pain are essential steps in initiating pain management. Scoring can also aid the audit of the implementation of treatment protocols and monitor the effectiveness of different analgesic regimens. To familiarize patients with the use of pain-assessment scales, preoperative patient education can incorporate the assessment of patients' previous pain history and instructions to use the VAS and verbal rating scale.

CURRENT STATE OF POSTOPERATIVE PAIN CONTROL

Undertreatment of pain is common in outpatients.¹¹ Beauregard et al¹¹ reported that 40% of discharged outpatients suffered from moderate to severe pain during the first 24 hours. Approximately 50% of patients reported that instructions about pain control were either unclear or nonexistent; however, more than 80% of patients were satisfied with the pain control, and the overall medication use was low. Scott and Hodson⁹⁷ also found that attitudes about pain varied greatly. Most patients were prepared to suffer pain and had little understanding of the pain-control methods.

IDENTIFICATION OF AT-RISK GROUPS

A number of considerations have to be reconciled when planning the most appropriate pain management. The age, physical condition, and capabilities of patients are important. The preoperative patient preparation and education, presence of preoperative pain and anxiety, the site and extent of surgery, the planned technique of anesthesia, and the likely intensity of postoperative pain must be carefully considered. Chung et al²⁵ found that orthopedic procedures and the duration of anesthesia were predictors of postoperative pain.

GENERAL PRINCIPLES

Optimal postoperative pain control for ambulatory surgery should be effective and safe, produce minimal side effects, facilitate recovery, and be easily managed by patients after discharge. The management of postoperative pain should follow the principle of providing a general background level of analgesia sufficient to permit normal activities, together with the use of additional analgesic supplements to cover any painful activity. In a before-and-after audit over 6 weeks involving 203 patients, Marquardt and Razis⁷⁵ advocated prepackaged take-home analgesia specific to the type of operation performed. The operations were classified as mild, moderate, or severe. Breakthrough medications were prescribed in addition to regular around-the-clock medication. This approach led to an improvement in pain control, mobility, and sleep.

MULTIMODAL ANALGESIA

Postoperative analgesia can be broadly classified into pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic techniques. The mainstay of pharmacologic techniques is the use of opioids; however, opioids are associated with side effects, such as nausea, vomiting, and sedation, that may lead to delayed discharge or unanticipated admissions.^{46, 48, 121} Nonopioid techniques include local anesthetics, such as peripheral nerve blocks, wound infiltration or instillation, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Nonpharmacologic techniques include cryoanalgesia, hypnosis and relaxation, transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS), and acupuncture-like TENS.

Combination regimens have been suggested to be more rational and effective.^{30, 68} Combinations of analgesics that act by different mechanisms result in additive or synergistic analgesia and lower total doses of analgesics, with reduced side effects. Therefore, a multimodal approach to the treatment of patients with postoperative pain has been recommended.^{30, 68} Table 1 shows the randomized, controlled trials of multimodal analgesia. Most studies demonstrated a decrease in pain scores or postoperative analgesic requirements.^{20, 43, 78, 85, 90, 100, 118} The only study that failed to demonstrate that combination therapy led to better postoperative pain relief, in fact, showed that combination therapy significantly lowered the requirement for intraoperative fentanyl supplementation.³⁴ Multimodal analgesia, using a combination of opioid, NSAID, and local anesthetic, is superior to any modality alone, and the technique is highly recommended.

TIMING OF ANALGESIA-PREEMPTIVE ANALGESIA

Preemptive analgesia is an attractive working hypothesis; however, the evidence so far cannot support a major benefit of preemptive analgesia in postoperative pain management. Kehlet⁶⁷ examined 11 controlled trials, of which 3 were outpatient trials, comparing the analgesic effect of the same treatment administered before or after the initiation of surgery, and concluded that timing of analgesic administration was of no major importance in the management of postoperative pain.⁶⁷ Table 2 shows the five outpatient, randomized, controlled trials that compared analgesic administration before and after the initiation of surgery. Three studies failed to demonstrate any benefits in pain scores or postoperative analgesic requirements.^{20, 33, 93} Ejlerson et al^{40a} demonstrated a difference of 1 hour in the time to first request for postoperative analgesics; however, they failed to demonstrate a significant difference in pain scores. Anderson⁵ found lower postoperative pain scores and analgesic require

	Sample	Suraical			Postoperative	Postoperative
Study	Size	Procedures	Treatment	Control	Pain Scores	Analgesics
Smith et al (1992) ¹⁰⁰	60	Knee arthroscopy	IV and IM ketorolac + IA bubivacaine	Ketorolac or bupivacaine	 →	→
Ding et al (1993) ³⁴ Nehra et al	109 200	Gynecologic surgery Inguinal hernia	IV fentanyl + ketorolac Ilioinguinal block +	IV fentanyl or ketorolac Block or papaveretum +	$\uparrow \rightarrow$	$\uparrow \rightarrow$
(1995) ⁸⁵ Reuben and Connelly	80	Knee arthroscopy	papaveretum + aspirin IV/IA ketorolac + IA bupivacaine	aspirin or placebo IA ketorolac or IA bupivacaine	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
Chan et al (1996) ²⁰	100	Breast lump excision	Preoperative and postoperative diclofenac + bupivacaine infiltration on closure	Diclofenac or bupivacaine or placebo	\rightarrow	\$
Eriksson et al (1996) ⁴³	90	Laparoscopic tubal ligation	Lidocaire gel on clips + preoperative and	Lidocaine gel or placebo	→	→
Michaloliakou et al (1996) ⁷⁸	49	Laparoscopic cholecys- tectomy	Preoperative meperidine + Preoperative meperidine + bupivacaine + bupivacaine at onllhaddor cite	Placebo	\rightarrow	→
Van Ee et al (1996) ¹¹⁸	40	Laparoscopic tubal ligation	Preoperative ketoprofen + bupivacaine infiltration of mesosalpinx	Ketoprofen or bupivacaine	→	→

Table 1. STUDIES ON MULTIMODAL ANALGESIA IN AMBULATORY SURGERY

IV = intravenous; IA = intra-articular; IM = intramuscular.

	Cample	Suraical		Postonerative	Postonerative
Study	Size	Procedures	Before Versus After Treatment	Pain Scores	Analgesics
Rice et al (1990) ⁹³	40	Inguinal/scrotal surgery	Caudal block with bupivacaine after induction versus after	\$	\$
Dierking et al (1992) ³³	32	Inguinal hernia	Jungery Inguinal field block with lidocaine preincision versus closure	¢	\$
Ejlersen et al (1992) ^{40a}	37	Inguinal hernia	Preincision versus postincision lidocaine field block	\$	→
Anderson et al (1996) ⁵	100	Tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy	Paracetamo elixir preoperatively versus paracetamol suppository	→	→
Chan et al (1996) ²⁰	100	Breast lump excision	Preoperative versus postoperative IM diclofenac	¢	\$

Table 2. STUDIES ON PREEMPTIVE ANALGESIA IN AMBULATORY SURGERY

ments; however, a higher mean plasma paracetamol concentration was associated with the preincision medications as a result of the different formulation.

Although evidence is lacking for preemptive analgesia, preoperative administration of nonopioid analgesia can be an important factor in providing intraoperative analgesia, thereby reducing the intraoperative opioids and anesthetic requirements and facilitating a smooth and rapid recovery.

SYSTEMIC OPIOIDS

Opioids are the mainstay of postoperative pain therapy; however, opioid analgesia has to be balanced against the side effects engendered, mainly nausea and vomiting. Therefore, outpatient studies on opioids have focused on finding the particular opioid and the timing of administration that would lead to a lower incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting.

Claxton et al²⁶ compared morphine and fentanyl for postoperative pain relief in the PACU after painful ambulatory procedures. The administration was titrated to keep the VAS less than 40. For patients who received fentanyl in the PACU, the VAS was higher in the ambulatory surgical unit compared with the morphine group. The incidence of nausea and vomiting in the PACU and ambulatory surgical unit, recovery milestones, and time to home readiness were not significantly different among the two groups; however, the morphine group had more frequent postoperative nausea and vomiting after discharge. Wong et al¹²⁸ investigated the incidence and severity of postoperative nausea and vomiting after different timing of morphine administration in patients undergoing outpatient orthopedic procedures. Morphine was administered intraoperatively 5 minutes after incision versus in the PACU. The incidence and VAS for nausea were not significantly different among the two groups. Rasanayagam and Harrison⁸⁸ compared the preoperative oral administration of 10 mg morphine 1 hour before operation versus placebo. No significant difference was found regarding postoperative VAS, analgesia consumption, and the incidence of nausea and vomiting; however, the patients in the PACU were given 10 mg intramuscular bolus for pain relief without titrating to the VAS. This morphine bolus dose was too big to allow identification of the benefits of preoperative morphine on postoperative pain.

To avoid postoperative nausea and vomiting, the use of postoperative opioids should be minimized. In painful outpatient procedures, opioids may be needed to treat patients with severe pain. In this case, the use of lower doses (0.1 mg/kg) of intravenous (IV) morphine in the PACU did not cause more nausea and vomiting during the patients' hospital stay compared with fentanyl.²⁶

SYSTEMIC NONSTEROIDAL ANTI-INFLAMMATORY DRUGS

The efficacy of preoperative NSAID administration for postoperative pain has been extensively investigated in randomized, controlled trials (Table 3). Comparing NSAIDs with placebo, most studies demonstrated a decrease in postoperative pain scores or analgesic requirements.^{12, 27, 37, 38, 42, 45, 51, 60, 83} NSAIDs also gave rise to a lower side-effects profile during recovery.^{45, 51, 95, 107, 127} Most outpatient studies comparing NSAIDs with opioids for perioperative use demonstrated that opioids provided comparable or better pain relief in the early recovery period,^{77, 95, 113, 127} whereas NSAIDs provided better pain relief at the late recovery period.^{77, 95, 107, 113} The studies that did not show a significant difference in the pain relief had inadequate sample sizes^{29, 39} or methodologic flaws.⁵⁸ Combining opioids with NSAIDs, therefore, allows for a rapid effect of opioids, followed by a longer analgesic duration of NSAIDs.^{77, 95, 107, 113, 127}

The efficacy of NSAIDs for postoperative pain relief depends on the timing and route of administration. Because of their peripheral mechanisms of action, NSAIDs have longer onsets than do opioids, and, therefore, parenteral NSAIDs are usually administered at induction or intraoperatively, allowing adequate time for them to exert their peak effect. Although NSAIDs are available for both parenteral and enteral administration, oral or rectal preparations are less expensive. Oral administration, however, may be associated with erratic absorption and may require a long time for absorption. Studies demonstrate that enteral NSAIDs are as effective as their parenteral counterparts when given preoperatively to allow at least 1 hour for absorption.^{12, 27, 38, 95, 104}

No scientific documentation of the superiority of any individual NSAID for perioperative use exists.⁸¹ The choice of preparation, therefore, depends on availability, desired route of administration, duration of effect, and cost.⁶⁹ The side effects of NSAIDs seem minimal, except in patients with active gastroduodenal ulceration, renal dysfunction, bleeding tendency, or allergy to aspirin.⁶⁷ Systemic NSAIDs are effective analgesics with prolonged action and minimal side effects. The combined use of opioids and NSAIDs is ideal for treatment of severe pain because of its rapid onset and prolonged action. Therefore, the use of NSAIDs is highly recommended in ambulatory surgery.

OPIATE AGONIST-ANTAGONISTS

The two main groups of agonist-antagonist drugs are the morphine type and the nalorphine type. Morphine-type drugs, such as buprenorphine, have a partially agonist effect on the μ -receptors when given in lower doses. When given in higher doses, they do not increase analgesia. In fact, when given concurrently with morphine or related drugs, the effects can be reversed. Morphine-type drugs have no effect on κ and σ

Study	Sample Size	Surgical Procedures	Treatment	Control	Postoperative Pain Scores	Postoperative Analgesics
Dueholm et al	60	Inguinal hernia	Naproxen, 500 mg PR 30 min preoperatively	Placebo	→	÷
McLoughlin et al	60	Knee arthroscopy	Diclofenac, 1 mg/kg IM after	Fentanyl, 1 µg/kg IV at induction or placebo	→	\rightarrow
Edwards et al (1991) ³⁹	80	Lap tubal ligation + diagnostic	Diclofenac, 75 mg IM on induction	Placebo	\$	1
Rosenblum et al (1991) ⁹⁵	30	laparoscopy Gynecologic laparoscopy	Ibuprofen, 800 mg PO 1 h preoperatively	Fentanyl, 75 µg IV 30 min before end of	→	\$
Crocker and	56	Lap tubal ligation	Indomethacin, 200 mg PR	Placebo	1	\$
Paech (1992) ²⁹ Comfort et al	44	Lap tubal ligation	$_{2}$ n preoperatively Naproxen, 2 × 275 mg PO	Placebo	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
(1992) ²⁷ Wong et al (1993) ¹²⁷	149	Outpatient procedures	 n preoperatively Postoperative ketorolac 30 mg IV + ketorolac 10 mg PO 	Postoperative fentanyl 50 mg IV + 60 mg codeine-acetamino-	\$	NA
Higgins et al (1994) ³⁸	50	Lap tuba! ligation	Ketorolac, 60 mg IV, on induction, or ibuprofen, 800 mg PO 30 min,	phen 600 mg PO Placebo	\$	\$
			preoperatively			

Table 3. STUDIES ON NONSTEROIDAL ANTI-INFLAMMATORY DRUGS IN AMBULATORY SURGERY

Twersky et al	69	Lap, hernia, knee	Ketorolac, 30 mg IV	Fentanyl, 50 µg IV	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
(1995) ¹¹³		arthroscopy	postoperativeľy	postoperatively		-
Dunn et al (1995) ³⁸	80	Lap tubal ligation	Naproxen, 1 g PO 90 min preoperatively	Placebo	€	→
Eriksson (1995) ⁴²	100	Lap tubal ligation	Ketoprofen, 100 mg IV preinduction +	Placebo	→	\rightarrow
			ketoprofen, 100 mg IV intraoperativelv			
Sukhani et al	80	Gynecologic	Ketorolac, 60 mg IV at	Fentanyl, 100 µg IV at	Titrated to	→
$(1996)^{107}$		laparoscopy	induction	induction	VAS < 4	
Ben-David (1996) ¹²	70	Inguinal hernia	Ketorolac, 30 mg IM, IV, field block before induction, PO	Placebo	→	\rightarrow
			1 h preoperatively			
Forse et al	52	Lap cholecystectomy	Ketorolac, 30 mg IM or	Placebo	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
(1996) ⁴⁵			indomethacin, 100 mg PR after induction			
Green et al	126	Lap tubal ligation +	Ketorolac, 60 mg IV 30 min before the end of surgery	Placebo	↑ (DI)	† (DL)
(0//T)		laparoscopy	Delote the clip of satifier)			
Jakobsson et al	200	Gynecologic	Diclofenac, 75 mg IM or	Placebo	(IMI) ↑	(III) ↑
(1996) ⁶⁰		procedures	ketorolac, 30 mg IM or diclofenac, 50 mg PO			
Murrell et al	137	Diagnostic	Ketorolac, 30 mg IM or	Placebo	→	€
(1996) ⁸³		laparoscopy	indomethacin, 100 mg PR at induction			

PR = by rectum; IM = intramuscularly; IV = intravenously; lap = laparoscopic; PO = by mouth; VAS = visual analogue scores; NA = not available; DL = diagnostic laparoscopy.

receptors, which were responsible for side effects, such as dysphoria and sedation. Nalorpine-type drugs, such as dezocine, butorphanol, and nalbuphine compete with morphine and the morphine-type drugs for μ -receptors without the resultant analgesic effects. In addition, this group has an agonist action with κ - and σ -receptors.

Table 4 shows the results of randomized, controlled trials on the use of opiate agonist-antagonists in ambulatory surgery. Most studies showed that the use of opiate agonist-antagonists was associated with a lower requirement for postoperative analgesia^{14, 19, 35, 66}; however, opiate agonist-antagonists, morphine-type or nalorphine-type, also led to an increase in the incidence of postoperative psychomotor impairment, sedation, emesis, and prolonged recovery time.^{14, 35, 66} Therefore, the use of opioid agonist-antagonists cannot be recommended for postoperative pain relief in ambulatory surgery.

SPINAL AND EPIDURAL ANALGESIA

Knee arthroscopy and gynecologic laparoscopy are common outpatient procedures that can be performed with the patient under spinal or epidural anesthesia. Central neuraxial block has the advantages of providing a better postoperative analgesia and a lower incidence of side effects, such as sedation, nausea, and vomiting; however, the disadvantages include a longer preparation time for anesthesia compared with general anesthesia, a higher incidence of urinary retention, pruritus, and prolonged recovery. Dahl et al³¹ investigated the use of 5% spinal lidocaine versus epidural 2% mepivacaine versus propofol anesthesia for knee arthroscopy. The preparation time for anesthesia was significantly longer in the spinal (23 \pm 4.8 min) and epidural (31 \pm 9.1 min) groups than in the propofol (7.4 \pm 5.4 min) group. The time lost in the spinal and epidural groups was not compensated by the shorter time interval from the end of operation to arrival in the recovery room. The level of postoperative pain, however, was significantly lower in the spinal and epidural groups, with a lower VAS and analgesic requirement up to 180 minutes postoperatively. No significant difference was found in the incidence of postoperative emesis and the time to home readiness.³¹

With a shorter preparation time, spinal anesthesia is more commonly used in outpatient procedures than is epidural anesthesia. Several studies have investigated the use of low-concentration, low-dose spinal anesthetics and the addition of an intrathecal opioid to take advantage of prolonged postoperative analgesia, while avoiding postoperative motor block, urinary retention, and prolonged recovery time.^{21, 86, 114} Short-acting and lipophilic opioids, such as fentanyl, have been used successfully in the outpatient setting.^{21, 86} The effects of fentanyl subside within 2 hours.^{21, 86} The better analgesia provided by the spinal opioids in the PACU enables easier transition to oral analgesics.

Urmey et al¹¹⁴ compared 2% isobaric lidocaine, 40 mg versus 60 mg versus 80 mg intrathecally, in a combined spinal epidural anesthesia for 90 patients undergoing knee arthroscopy. The sensory and motor blocks

' SURGERY	
AMBULATORY	
ITAGONISTS IN	
E AGONIST-AN	
IES ON OPIAT	
Table 4. STUD	

Study	Sample Size	Surgical Procedures	Treatment	Control	Postoperative Pain Scores	Postoperative Analgesics
Bone et al (1988) ¹⁴	40	Dilatation and curettage	Nalbuphine, 0.25 mg/kg IV before induction	Fentanyl, 0.5 µg/kg IV before induction	→	→
Ding and White (1992) ³⁵	136	Laparoscopies	Dezocine, 6 mg IV, or ketorolac, 60 mg IV on induction	Fentanyl, 100 µg IV on induction	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
Juhlin-Ďannfelt et al (1995) ⁴⁶	82	Knee arthroscopy	Sublingual buprenorphine, 0.4 mg 90 min preoperatively	Placebo	\$	→
Cepeda et al (1996) ¹⁹	200	Ambulatory procedures	Nalbuphine, 0.25 mg/kg IV on induction	Alfentanil, 20 μg/kg, or fentanyl, 2 μg/kg, or placebo on induction	Titrated to VAS < 4	→
Littlejohn et al (1996) ⁷⁴	60	Dental extraction	Nalbuphine, 0.3 mg/kg IV on induction	Diclofenac 0.2 mg/kg PR or placebo after induction	\$	\$

VAS = visual analogue score.

were shorter in the 40-mg group; the time to various recovery milestones was also shorter; however, 10% of the 40-mg and 60-mg and 3.3% of the 80-mg group required epidural supplementation after 49 \pm 11 minutes in all groups.¹¹⁴ Chilvers et al²¹ subsequently investigated three doses of fentanyl supplements (0 versus 10 versus 25 µg) with 20 mg of hypobaric lidocaine for spinal anesthesia in 64 patients undergoing gynecologic laparoscopies. Intraoperative conditions were most satisfactory with 25 µg fentanyl. Sensory recovery was longer, and thus the postoperative analgesia was better with 25 µg fentanyl, although the motor recovery and the discharge times were similar with the other groups. Pruritus was the only side effect that occurred more often in the 10-µg and 25µg groups. Vaghadia et al¹¹⁶ compared 25 mg hypobaric lidocaine and 25 µg fentanyl with 75 mg hyperbaric lidocaine and found that the conventional dose (75 mg) of lidocaine required longer duration for sensory and motor recovery.

Spinal anesthesia was associated with a shorter preparation time than was epidural anesthesia, and spinal anesthesia showed a lower incidence of postoperative pain and a smoother transition to oral analgesics compared with general anesthesia. The use of spinal anesthesia in ambulatory settings is facilitated by using low-dose, low-concentration, short-acting spinal anesthetics with the addition of a lipophilic opioid.

WOUND INSTILLATION OR INFILTRATION

Wound instillation or infiltration can provide both intraoperative and postoperative analgesia, facilitating a rapid and smooth recovery. Table 5 shows the inpatient^{40, 54, 73, 119} and outpatient^{3, 13, 80, 112, 130} randomized, controlled trials on wound infiltration and instillation. Subfascial infiltration,¹³⁰ parietal peritoneal infiltration,³ and subcutaneous infiltration or field block^{13, 80, 112} were shown to reduce postoperative pain and analgesic requirements in outpatient studies. In contrast, the inpatient studies^{40, 54, 73, 119} showed conflicting results, either because of inadequate sample sizes¹¹⁹ or a failure in standardization of the postoperative assessment of pain.⁴⁰ Wound instillation or infiltration is a simple, effective technique with minimal side effects. Wound instillation or infiltration is highly recommended for postoperative pain relief in ambulatory surgery.

KNEE ARTHROSCOPY

Knee arthroscopy is one of the most common outpatient procedures, and much has been done to investigate the optimal postoperative analgesia for this procedure (Table 6). Most studies have focused on techniques for postoperative pain management after general anesthesia, namely intra-articular local anesthetics, intra-articular opioids or NSAIDs, and femoral nerve block.

Early studies compared intra-articular local anesthetics with placebo

Study	Sample Size	Surgical Procedures	Treatment	Control	Postoperative Pain Scores	Postoperative Analgesics
Levack et al	50	Open cholecystectomy or snlenectomy	10 mL 0.5% bupivacaine via subfascial indwelling tube	Placebo	€	→
Egan et al	415	Laparotomy	0.25% bupivacaine, 2 mL/cm incision subfascial infiltration	No infiltration	NA	\$
Tverskoy et al	36	Inguinal hernia	40 mL 0.25% bupivacaine wound infiltration	General or spinal anesthesia	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
Yndgaard et al	44	Inguinal hernia	10 mL 1% lidocaine subfascial infiltration	SC, same solution	→	\rightarrow
Victory et al (1995) ¹¹⁹	56	Abdominal hysterectomy	Preincision or postincision 40 mL 0.5% bupivacaine wound infiltration	Placebo	\$	\$
Ben-David et al (1995) ¹³	32	Inguinal hernia	Ketorolac, 30 mg in 20 cc of 0.25% bupivacaine in wound infiltration on closure	Ketorolac, 60 mg IM	→	\rightarrow
Alexander et al (1996) ³	80	Laparoscopic cholecystectomy	20 mL 0.5% bupivacaine to SC periportal area + 20 mL 0.25% bupivacaine parietal peritoneal	20 mL 0.5% bupivacaine to SC periportal area		\rightarrow
Morisaki et al (1996) ⁸⁰	168	Hemorrhoidectomy	15 mL 1% lidocaine wound infiltration	Placebo	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
Hannibal et al (1996) ⁵⁴	41	Abdominal hysterectomy	Preincision 40 mL 0.25% bupivacaine wound infiltration	Placebo	¢	→

Table 5. STUDIES ON WOUND INSTILLATION AND INFILTRATION IN AMBULATORY SURGERY

NA = not available; SC = subcutaneous; IM = intramuscularly.

Table 6. STUDIES ON OUT	PATIENT KN	JEE ARTHROSCOPY	
Study	Sample Size	Treatment Versus Control	Result
Patel et al (1986) ⁸⁷	06	General anesthesia versus 3-in-1 FNB + LFC	VAS: not assessed; analgesics: both FNB groups had
Milligan et al (1988)?9	40	Versus FNB 0.25% bupivacaine, 25 mL IA, versus 0.5%	rewer partents wno required postoperative attabesics VAS, analgesics: ↔
Chirwa et al (1989) ²²	62	bupivacaine, 25 mL 1A, versus placebo 0.25% bupivacaine, 20 mL 1A, versus placebo	VAS, analgesics: \downarrow up to 5 h, longer time to first
Henderson et al (1990) ⁵⁷ White et al (1990) ¹²²	100 27	0.25% bupivacaine, 30 mL IA, versus placebo 0.5% prilocaine, 20 mL IA with epi, versus	analgesic VAS, analgesics: ↔ VAS, analgesics: ↔; time to first analgesics longer in LA
Smith et al (1991) ¹⁰¹	67	piaceoo 0.5% bupivacaine, 30 mL IA, versus placebo	VAS: \leftrightarrow ; analgesics; \downarrow ; quicker ambulation and
Sorenson et al (1991) ¹⁰¹	40	0.5% bupivacaine, 10 mL IA with epi, versus	uscharge VAS, analgesics: ↔; procedure under LA with 1% lidocaine with eni
Stein et al (1991) ¹⁰⁴	52	Morphine, 1 mg IA, versus morphine, 1 mg IV, versus morphine, 0.5 mg IA, versus morphine, 1 mg IA, + naloxone, 0.1 mg IA, 40 mL—total volume for all treatment	VAS, analgesics: 1 mg morphine IA better than IV at 3–6 h. 1 mg morphine better than 0.5 mg morphine after 6 h. VAS: 1 mg morphine better than morphine + naloxone
Joshi et al (1992) ⁶⁴ Khoury et al (1992) ²¹	20 33	arms Morphine, 5 mg IA, versus placebo Morphine, 1 mg IA, versus 0.25% bupivacaine, 25 mL IA, versus morphine, 1 mg IA, + 0.25% 25 mL IA bupivacaine	VAS, analgesics: \downarrow VAS: at 1 h, 1 mg morphine > bupivacaine, 1 mg morphine + bupivacaine: 2–3 h, \leftrightarrow ; 4 h–2 d, bupivacaine > 1 mg morphine, 1 mg morphine + bupivacaine Analgesics: at 1 h, \uparrow in 1 mg morphine; > 1 h, \uparrow in humivacaine
Heard et al (1992) ⁵⁵	112	0.25% bupivacaine, 20 mL IA with epi, vorene mombine 6 mo IA vorene placebo	VAS: bupivective better, longer time to first analgesic; valuesics: 34-h total requirement
Des Andres et al (1993) ³²	60	0.25% bupivacatine, 20 mL IA, versus Francio continuous FNB versus morphine, 1 mg IA	VAS: lowest in FNB; analgesics: ↔) little required by all groups

Allen et al (1993) ⁴	120	0.25% bupivacaine IA, versus morphine, 1 mg IA, versus morphine, 2 mg IA, versus morphine, 1 mg IA, + 0.25% bupivacaine—all treatment arms given in	VAS, analgesics: bupivacaine, bupivacaine + 1 mg morphine best in early postoperative period; 1 mg morphine, 2 mg morphine, bupivacaine + 1 mg morphine best at 24 h after surgery
Joshi et al (1993) ⁶⁵	40	30 cc solution with epi Morphine, 5 mg IA, versus 0.25% bupivacaine, 25 mL IA, versus morphine, 5 mg IA, + 0.25% bupivacaine, 25 mL IA,	VAS: \downarrow versus placebo up to 4 h; analgesics: \downarrow versus placebo up to 4 h (after 4 h, 5 mg morphine and 5 mg morphine + bupivacaine \leftrightarrow , bupivacaine and
Joshi et al (1993) ⁶³ Laurent et al (1994) ⁷²	20 58	versus placebo Morphine, 5 mg IA, versus placebo 0.25% bupivacaine, 40 mL IA, + morphine, 5 mg, versus 0.25% bupivacaine, 40 mL IA, + morphine, 2 mg IA, versus 0.25% hunivacaine 40 m1 IA	piacebo ↔) VAS, analgesics: ↓ ACL repair VAS, analgesics: ↔
Heine et al (1994) ⁵⁶	31	0.5% bupivacaine, 20 mL IA, versus morphine, 1 mg IA, + 0.5% bupivacaine, 20 mL IA, versus morphine, 3 mg IA, + 0.5% bupivacaine, 20 mL IA	VAS: 3 mg morphine + bupivacaine better up to day 2; analgesics: 1 mg morphine + bupivacaine, 3 mg morphine + bupivacaine better up to day 3
Jaureguito et al (1995) ⁶¹	59	Morphine, 4 mg IA, versus 0.25% bupivacaine, 20 mL IA, versus placebo	VAS: lowest in morphine at 24 h, morphine, bupivacaine \downarrow 2–6 h, analgesics: lowest in morphine 12–24 h, morphine, bupivacaine \downarrow 2–6 h; procedure done under LA
Wrench et al (1996) ¹²⁹	60	Morphine, 1 mg IA, versus buprenorphine, 30 mg IA, versus saline	VAS, analgesics: ↔
Cook et al (1997) ²⁸	63	0.25% bupivacaine, 40 mL IA, versus tenoxicam. 20 mg IA, versus placebo	VAS: \leftrightarrow among all groups; analgesics: tenoxicam better up to 2 h
Goranson et al (1997) ⁵⁰	60	2% lidocaine 20 mĽ with epi, portal + IA versus FNB 2% chloroprocaine 20 mL with epi versus FNB + IA lidocaine	VAS', analgesics: ↔ among all groups
Reuben et al (1998)²²	100	0.25% bupivacaine, 30 mL IA, versus morphine, 5 mg IA, versus bupivacaine 0.25% 30 mL IA + morphine, 5 mg IV, versus bupivacaine 0.25% 30 mL IA + morphine, 5 mg IA	VAS, analgesics: bupivacaine was better up to 6 h; no benefit in combining with morphine up to 24 h
ENIR = femoral namo block (LEC - lator	formant automotion name. VAS - viewed and and and	$1\Lambda = intermediation 1 \Lambda = 1000$ anacthoris: $1V = intervolution of V$

intravenously; **FNB** FNB = femoral nerve block; LFC = lateral femoral cutaneous nerve; VAS = visual analogue scale; IA = intra-articularly; LA = local anesthesia; IV = **G** epi = epinephrine; ACL = anterior cruciate ligament.

regarding the effect of local anesthetics on postoperative pain,^{22, 57, 79, 101, 102, 122} whereas later studies investigated the use of intra-articular opioids either alone or in combination with local anesthetics in prolonging the duration of postoperative analgesia.^{4, 28, 55, 56, 61, 63–65, 71, 72, 92, 129} Comparing intra-articular local anesthetics with placebo, intra-articular local anesthetics were found to reduce pain scores and postoperative analgesic requirements in the early postoperative period, up to 6 hours.^{4, 22, 55, 63–65, 92, 101} Smith et al¹⁰¹ also found that intra-articular local anesthetics facilitated earlier ambulation and discharge. The studies that did not find any benefits with intra-articular local anesthetics had either a lack of adequate sample size, poor response rate for follow-up, or flawed follow-up design.^{65, 122}

Intra-articular opioid was found to have a delayed onset of action but a long duration ($\leq 2-3$ d).^{4, 56, 61, 65, 71} The effect of intra-articular morphine and the advantage of combining an intra-articular local anesthetic with an opioid are still controversial.28, 55, 65, 72, 92, 129 The peripheral mechanism of intra-articular opioids had been investigated by comparing the same dose of intra-articular morphine with IV morphine and assessing the effect on postoperative pain relief.¹⁰⁴ Intra-articular morphine gave better pain relief than the same dose of IV morphine. In addition, the effect of intra-articular morphine was antagonized by intraarticular naloxone.¹⁰⁴ Studies on the different doses of intra-articular morphine found that a dose-dependent relationship existed with the duration of postoperative pain relief^{56, 104}; however, no evidence supports a particular dose of intra-articular morphine as the optimal dose. Some studies that found intra-articular morphine ineffective had small sample sizes and, consequently, a lack of power^{65, 72, 79, 122, 129} or poor outcome definition.57

Reuben et al⁹² investigated the benefit of intra-articular bupivacaine or morphine and a combination of bupivacaine and morphine, in addition to a very comprehensive scheme of multimodal analgesia for anterior cruciate ligament repair. The multimodal regimen included the use of perioperative acetaminophen and ibuprofen starting 30 hours before surgery, intra-articular local anesthetics before incision, and intraoperative ketorolac and a cryo-cuff cooling system. The patients were instructed to take acetaminophen and ibuprofen regularly, in addition to using the cooling system for the first 24 hours. Intra-articular bupivacaine provided a better VAS up to 2 hours postoperatively, but all groups showed a similar VAS at 24 hours. This finding could be a result of the small additional analgesia provided by the intra-articular morphine, given the excellent background multimodal analgesia. Joshi et al⁶⁵ also suggested that after 4 hours, the intra-articular morphine group and the combined group had similar VASs and were better than the bupivacaine and placebo groups; however, the study did not have adequate sample size to prove statistical significance.

Some evidence has suggested that intra-articular NSAIDs are beneficial for postoperative pain relief after knee arthroscopy.²⁸ The use of femoral nerve block for postoperative pain relief remains controversial.³², ^{50, 87} The two studies that demonstrated the effectiveness of 3-in-1 femoral nerve block investigated femoral nerve block in techniques either uncommonly used^{50, 87} or unsuitable in current outpatient practice.³²

The use of intra-articular local anesthetics is well supported and is highly recommended. Some physicians have suggested that intra-articular morphine provides prolonged analgesia; the combination of intraarticular local anesthetics and opioid warrants further investigation.

HAND AND SHOULDER PROCEDURES

Hand procedures are commonly performed under axillary block and IV regional anesthesia (RA). Studies were focused on whether additives would prolong the duration of postoperative analgesia after these two techniques (Table 7). The addition of morphine to lidocaine for axillary block decreased the demand for postoperative analgesia,15 whereas the addition of ketorolac either to the lidocaine solution in IV RA or for wound infiltration decreased the VAS and the postoperative analgesic requirement.⁹¹ Clonidine was also investigated as an addition to mepivacaine for axillary block; however, the result was inconclusive because of inadequate sample size and study design.⁹⁹ Shoulder procedures are often performed with the patient under general anesthesia or interscalene block. Although interscalene block provides intraoperative anesthesia and postoperative analgesia, the residual motor block may delay neurologic examination for possible nerve damage during surgery; therefore, regional anesthesia aiming to minimize motor block was studied for the supplementation of general anesthesia (Table 7). Both lowdose interscalene block¹ and suprascapular nerve block⁹⁴ have been shown to improve postoperative analgesia.

The addition of morphine to lidocaine in axillary block and ketorolac to IV RA prolonged postoperative analgesia. The use of regional techniques to supplement general anesthesia for shoulder surgery also improves postoperative analgesia. In the authors' opinion, such techniques should be advocated in daily practice.

LAPAROSCOPIC PROCEDURES

Outpatient laparoscopic procedures mainly consist of gynecologic laparoscopy and laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Most studies have shown that the use of local anesthesia either as drops onto the fallopian tubes⁷⁶ or as infiltration of the mesosalpinx^{2, 44} is effective in lowering postoperative pain scores and analgesic requirements (Table 8). For studies that failed to find a benefit with the use of local anesthetics, problems existed with standardization of the outcomes with time.^{2, 9} Glycopyrrolate IV on induction had also been shown to improve the postoperative pain control.⁵³ Tenoxicam was studied in patients undergoing diagnostic laparoscopy and did not improve postoperative pain control.¹²⁵; however, the

Study	Sample Size	Regional Technique	Treatment	Control	Pain Scores	Postoperative Analgesics
Bourke and Furman (1993) ¹⁵	40	Axillary block for forearm/hand	Morphine, 0.1 mg/kg, + 1.5% lidocaine with epi, 0.55 m1 /ks	Morphine, 0.1 mg/kg IV, + same lidocaine in block	\$	→
Singelyn et al (1996)%	80	Axillary block for hand	1% mepivacaine with epi, 40 mL + clonidine, 0.1, 0.2, mL + clonidine, 0.1, 0.2, 0.2 0.4 0 f = 10.1 f = 10.1 f = $10.$	1% mepivacaine with epi, 40 mL	€	1
Reuben and Duprat (1996) ⁹¹	60	IV RA for hand	0.5% lidocaine, 40 nLJ hg/ kg 0.5% lidocaine, 40 nLJ + 1% lidocaine, 5 nL wound infiltration + ketorolac, 60	Same lidocaine regimen + placebo	\rightarrow	→
Al-Kaisy et al رומסדיו	28	Interscalene block	ing in piccy would infiltration 0.125%, 0.25%, or 0.5%	Placebo	→	\rightarrow
Ritchie et al $(1997)^{94}$	50	Suprascapular block for shoulder	0.5% bupivacaine, 10 mL	Placebo	\rightarrow	→

Table 7. STUDIES ON OUTPATIENT SHOULDER AND HAND PROCEDURES

epi = epinephrine; IV = intravenously; RA = regional anesthesia.

	Postoperative Analgesics	→	→	→	\$	→	→	\$	\$	→	→
	Pain Scores	→	→	\rightarrow	\$	→	→	\$	\$	→	→
	Control	Placebo	Placebo or nothing	Placebo or nothing	Uncoated clips	Placebo	Placebo	Placebo	Placebo	Placebo	Placebo
	Treatment	1% etidocaine, 5 mL, dropped on each fallonian tube	1% lidocaine or 0.5% bupivacaine, 2.5 mL each: mesosalpinx	0.5% lidocaine with epi or 0.125% bupivacaine with epi, 80 mL intraperitoneally	2% lidocaine gel to Filshie clips	1% lidocaine, 2 mL, each mesosalpinx	Glycopyrrolate, 0.3 mg IV, on induction	Tenoxicam, 20 mg IV, on induction	0.125% bupivacaine with epi, 80 mL intraperitoneally	0.5% bupivacaine with epi, 40 mL intraperitoneally	0.5% bupivacaine, 30 mL intraperitoneally
AROSCOPIC PROCEDURES	Surgical Procedure	Laparoscopic tubal ligation	Laparoscopic tubal ligation	Diagnostic laparoscopy	Laparoscopic tubal ligation	Laparoscopic tubal ligation	Laparoscopic tubal ligation	Diagnostic laparoscopy	Laparoscopic cholecvstectomy	Laparoscopic cholecvstectomy	Laparoscopic cholecystectomy
S ON LAP	Sample Size	51	100	80	62	52	60	67	40	120	80
Table 8. STUDIE	Study	McKenzie et al	Alexander et al (1987) ²	Narchi et al (1991) ⁸⁴	Barclay et al (1994)°	Fiddes et al (1996) ⁴⁴	Guard and Wiltshire (1996) ³³	Windsor et al (1996) ¹²⁵	Joris et al (1995) ⁶²	Pasqualucci et al (1996) ^{86a}	Mraovic et al (1997) ^{s2}

epi = epinephrine; IV = intravenously.

negative result could be related to the low pain intensity after diagnostic laparoscopy.

Intraperitoneal local anesthetics were commonly used under the diaphragm to prevent shoulder pain. Studies showed that 30 mL to 40 mL intraperitoneal 0.5% bupivacaine was effective in lowering the postoperative pain scores and analgesic requirements after laparoscopic cholecystectomy.^{82, 86a} Studies of the effectiveness of intraperitoneal 0.125% bupivacaine were inconclusive.^{62, 84}

The use of local anesthetics on the mesosalpinx was effective in laparoscopic tubal ligation. Some benefits may also be associated with glycopyrrolate. Intraperitoneal local anesthetics should be used to alleviate shoulder pain after laparoscopy.

INGUINAL HERNIORRHAPHY, CIRCUMCISION, AND SCROTAL SURGERY

llioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerve block have been shown to improve postoperative pain scores and analgesic requirements compared with placebo in patients undergoing inguinal herniorrhaphy³⁶ (Table 9); however, no evidence supports a decrease in recovery time as a result of the use of 1% lidocaine for wound infiltration in the nerve block group or the placebo group.³⁶ Wound infiltration or instillation with 0.25% bupivacaine provided pain relief comparable with ilioinguinal or iliohypogastric nerve block.^{18, 89}

Caudal analgesia is commonly used to provide postoperative pain relief in pediatric patients undergoing lower-body procedures. Although caudal analgesia decreases the postoperative opioid requirement and its accompanying side effects, it may cause delays in micturition, ambulation, and discharge. Therefore, Wolf et al¹²⁶ studied different concentrations of bupivacaine in caudal analgesia and found that 0.0625% bupivacaine, 0.75 mL/kg, was ineffective, whereas 0.75 mL/kg 0.125% bupivacaine with epinephrine provided equipotent analgesia with less motor block than did 0.25% bupivacaine with epinephrine.¹²⁶ When comparing caudal analgesia with ketorolac¹⁰³ or ilioinguinal or iliohypogastric nerve block^{53a} in inguinal herniorrhaphy and orchiopexy, ketorolac and ilioinguinal or iliohypogastric nerve block were found to be as effective as caudal analgesia. Ketorolac also resulted in less pain at home and a faster recovery.¹⁰³

Spermatic cord block has been shown to be effective in scrotal surgery.¹⁶ For circumcision, Tree-Trakarn and Pirayavaraporn¹¹¹ found that morphine or dorsal penile nerve block or lidocaine spray, ointment, or jelly all improved postoperative pain relief in the PACU compared with placebo.¹¹⁰ In the lidocaine and nerve block groups, fewer patients suffered from mild to moderate pain or drowziness than did the morphine group. When applied regularly in the postoperative period, lidocaine jelly provided better pain relief compared with placebo on the operative day and the first postoperative day.

	Comple	Curaical			Doctonorativo	Pretrinerative
Study	Size	Procedure	Treatment	Control	Pain Scores	Analgesics
Reid et al (1987) ⁸⁹	49	Inguinal hernia	0.25% bupivacaine, 0.5 mg/kg II block	0.25% bupivacaine, 0.5 mg/ ke wound infiltration	¢	1
Casey et al (1990) ¹⁸	60	Inguinal hernia	0.25% bupivacaine, 0.25 mL/kg II/IH block	0.25% bupivacaine, 0.5 mL/ kg wound instillation	\$	\$
Ding et al (1995)*	26	Inguinal hernia	0.25% bupivacaine, 30 mL II/ IH block	Placebo	>	→
Splinter et al (1997) ¹⁰³	164	Pediatric inguinal hernia	0.2% bupivacaine with epi, 1 mL/kg caudal anesthesia	Ketorolac, 1 mg/kg IV, after induction	€	\$
Hannallah (1987) ^{53a}	29	Pediatric orchiopexy	0.25% bupivacaine, 2.5 mL/y caudal analgesia	0.25% bupivacaine, 4– 6 mL 11/1H	\$	\$
Burden et al (1997) ¹⁶	48	Scrotal surgery	0.5% bupivacaine, 10 mL spermatic cord block	Nothing	\rightarrow	\$
Tree-Trakarn et al (1985) ¹¹⁰	77	Pediatric circumcision	Morphine, 0.2 mg/kg, or dorsal penile nerve block or lidocaine spray, ointment, or ielly	Nothing	→	\rightarrow
Tree-Trakarn et al (1987) ¹¹¹	29	Circumcision	2% lidocaine jelly	Placebo	→	\$

Table 9. STUDIES ON INGUINAL HERNIORRHAPHY, SCROTAL SURGERY, AND CIRCUMCISION

II = ilioinguinal nerve; IH = iliohypogastric nerve; epi = epinephrine; IV = intravenously.

Wound infiltration or instillation with local anesthetics, ilioinguinal or iliohypogastric nerve blocks, systemic NSAIDs, and caudal analgesia are all effective methods for pain relief in inguinal and scrotal surgery. For caudal analgesia, 0.75 mL/kg 0.125% bupivacaine with epinephrine provided comparable analgesia with least motor block. The use of lidocaine spray, ointment, or jelly provides effective analgesia and a noninvasive alternative to penile block for pain relief after circumcision.

TONSILLECTOMY AND ADENOIDECTOMY

Tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy are common outpatient pediatric procedures, and methods of postoperative analgesia have been studied (Table 10). In patients who underwent tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy, the use of ketorolac was associated with better postoperative analgesia than placebo¹⁰⁸; however, compared with rectal acetaminophen and codeine, no significant difference was found in postoperative analgesia.^{96, 103} The use of ketorolac, however, increased perioperative blood loss and the frequency of hemostatic measures.^{96, 103} The use of glossopharyngeal nerve block is not recommended because it led to severe upper airway obstruction.¹⁰

BREAST PROCEDURES

Breast lumpectomy has been performed as an outpatient procedure. With the use of multimodal analgesia, modified radical mastectomy with axillary dissection has also been performed as an outpatient procedure.^{52, 109} Chan et al²⁰ studied 100 patients in a five-group factorial design for patients who underwent breast lump excision and found that the combined use of diclofenac and bupivacaine infiltration provided better pain relief than did either medication alone. Greengrass et al⁵² performed a prospective, uncontrolled study of 25 patients who underwent simple lumpectomy to modified radical mastectomy with axillary dissection and found that the use of paravertebral block 0.5% bupivacaine with epinephrine, 3 mL to 4 mL per segment from C7 to T6, was associated with a successful bypass of the PACU; a lower requirement of postoperative analgesics; and a lower incidence of pain, nausea, and vomiting.

OTHER TECHNIQUES

Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation involves the theory of gate control modulation and the inhibitory fibers centrally. Therefore, TENS should be most effective in relieving pain from trauma to muscles, bones, or peripheral nerves; however, TENS was found to be ineffective in randomized, controlled trials for 20 patients who underwent lumbar

Study	Sample Size	Treatment	Control	Postoperative Pain Scores	Postoperative Analgesics
Valijan (1989) ¹¹⁷	31	Benzydamine spray for postoperative use	Placebo	\$	Ĵ
Sutters et al (1995) ¹⁰⁸	87	Ketorolac, 1 mg/kg IV, at the end of surgery	Placebo	→	→
Rusy et al	20	Ketorolac, 1 mg/kg IV, after IV	Rectal acetaminophen, 35 mg/kg, after IV nlacement	¢	1
Splinter et al	64	Ketorolac, 1 mg/kg IV, after induction	Codeine, 1.5 mg/kg, after induction	NA	NA
Bean-Lijewski (1997) ¹⁰	æ	0.25–0.5% bupivacaine, 3–10 mL glossopharygeal nerve block	Placebo	NA	ΥN

Table 10. STUDIES ON TONSILLECTOMY AND ADENOIDECTOMY

IV = intravenously, intravenous catheter; NA = not available.

laminectomy.^{75a} Acupuncture-like TENS, or electroacupuncture, was found in one study to reduce the opioid requirement in the first 2 hours if given immediately after surgery.²³ Wang et al¹²⁰ found that acupuncture-like TENS decreased the postoperative opioid requirement and its side effects by 65%. On the other hand, conventional acupuncture has been associated with an increase in postoperative analgesia requirements and higher pain scores.⁴¹

Hypnosis and relaxation techniques have been found to have variable quality of analgesia, depending on the characteristics of the patients and the pain stimuli, and, therefore, success has been variable.^{59a} Cryoanalgesia for the ilioinguinal nerve in patients undergoing inguinal herniorrhaphy was not more effective than was placebo.⁷⁰

NEW OPIOID DELIVERY SYSTEMS

The new delivery systems aim to provide portable analgesia in a stable fashion, either continuous or on demand, to achieve better analgesia, lower total opioid doses, and fewer unwanted side effects. The new opioid delivery systems include subcutaneous patient-controlled analgesia (PCA); iontophoresis; and transdermal, intranasal on-demand, and oral transmucosal fentanyl.

Although the use of transdermal fentanyl was associated with better postoperative analgesia in shoulder and abdominal surgery^{17, 98} its use in the outpatient setting is limited because it has a long latency to onset and stable serum level (15 h). In addition, its effect is prolonged. Following patch removal, the serum level decreased only to 50% in 21 hours.⁵⁹

Oral transmucosal fentanyl has a rapid onset of analgesia and an acceptable rate of side effects.⁷ Ashburn et al⁷ have shown that the use of oral transmucosal fentanyl, 7 to 10 μ g/kg, was associated with a lower postoperative analgesic requirement after total hip or knee replacement.⁷ Intranasal on-demand fentanyl has been compared with equal dosages of IV PCA fentanyl for postoperative pain relief after orthopedic surgery.^{105, 106} The onset was found to be rapid, and the analgesic effects were similar with no increase in side effects.^{105, 106}

Subcutaneous opioid PCA has been studied with oxymorphone,¹²³ hydromorphone,¹¹⁵ and morphine.⁴⁹ The studies had shown that subcutaneous PCA was as effective as conventional IV PCA,^{49, 115, 123} although the dose was 10% to 30% higher.¹²³ The potential use of disposable PCA pumps may permit the use of subcutaneous PCA in outpatient settings. Iontophoresis, a process in which ionizable drugs are electrically charged and propelled through the skin by an external electric field, has a more rapid onset and an ability to switch on and off drug delivery compared with the passive transdermal therapeutic system. Iontophoresis has only been studied in volunteers,⁸ and the clinical use of this delivery method is still under investigation.

SUMMARY

Optimizing postoperative pain control is the key to further advancement in the field of ambulatory anesthesia. The current situation in postoperative pain management indicates room for improvement, especially in the area of patient education and the development of individualized discharge analgesic packages. Multimodal analgesia provides superior analgesia with a lower side-effect profile. Preoperative administration of analgesia would decrease the intraoperative analgesic requirement, which may lead to a smooth and rapid recovery. Finally, new, portable analgesic delivery systems are under investigation and may prove to be the method of choice for future postoperative pain management in ambulatory anesthesia.

References

- Al-Kaisy AA, Chan V, Peng P, et al: Postoperative analgesic effect of low dose bupivacaine for interscalene brachial plexus block for shoulder surgery: A dose finding study. Can J Anaesth 44:A60, 1997
- Alexander CD, Wetchler BV, Thompson RE: Bupivacaine infiltration of the mesosalpinx in ambulatory surgical laparoscopic tubal sterilization. Can J Anaesth 34:362– 365, 1987
- Alexander DJ, Ngoi SS, Lee L, et al: Randomized trial of periportal peritoneal bupivacaine for pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy [comments]. Br J Surg 83:1223–1225, 1996
- Allen GC, St. Amand MA, Lui AC, et al: Postarthroscopy analgesia with intraarticular bupivacaine/morphine: A randomized clinical trial. Anesthesiology 79:475–480, 1993
- Anderson B, Kanagasundarum S, Woollard G: Analgesic efficacy of paracetamol in children using tonsillectomy as a pain model. Anaesth Intensive Care 24:669–673, 1996
- 6. Apfelbaum JL, Grasela TH, Walawander CA, SAFE study team: Bypassing the PACU: a new paradigm in ambulatory surgery. Anesthesiology 87:A32, 1997
- 7. Ashburn MA, Lind GH, Gillie MH, et al: Oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate (OTFC) for the treatment of postoperative pain. Anesth Analg 76:377–381, 1993
- 8. Ashburn MA, Streisand J, Zhang J, et al: The iontophoresis of fentanyl citrate in humans. Anesthesiology 82:1146-1153, 1995
- Barclay K, Calvert JP, Catling SJ, et al: Analgesia after laparoscopic sterilisation: Effect of 2% lignocaine gel applied to Filshie clips. Anaesthesia 49:68–70, 1994
- Bean-Lijewski JD: Glossopharyngeal nerve block for pain relief after pediatric tonsillectomy: Retrospective analysis and two cases of life-threatening upper airway obstruction from an interrupted trial. Anesth Analg 84:1232–1238, 1997
- Beauregard L, Pomp A, Choinere M: Severity and impact of pain after day-surgery. Can J Anaesth 45:304–311, 1998
- Ben-David B, Baune-Goldstein U, Goldik Z, et al: Is preoperative ketorolac a useful adjunct to regional anesthesia for inguinal herniorrhaphy? Acta Anaesth Scand 40:358–363, 1996
- 13. Ben-David B, Katz E, Gaitini L, et al: Comparison of IM and local infiltration of ketorolac with and without local anaesthetic. Br J Anaesth 75:409–412, 1995
- Bone ME, Dowson S, Smith G: A comparison of nalbuphine with fentanyl for postoperative pain relief following termination of pregnancy under day care anaesthesia. Anaesthesia 43:194–197, 1988
- Bourke DL, Furman WR: Improved postoperative analgesia with morphine added to axillary block solution. J Clin Anesth 5:114–117, 1993
- Burden RJ, O'Kelly SW, Sutton D, et al: Spermatic-cord block improves analgesia for day-case testicular surgery. Br J Urol 80:472–475, 1997
- 17. Caplan RA, Ready LB, Oden RV, et al: Transdermal fentanyl for postoperative pain management: A double-blind placebo study. JAMA 261:1036-1039, 1989

- Casey WF, Rice LJ, Hannallah RS, et al: A comparison between bupivacaine instillation versus ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric nerve block for postoperative analgesia following inguinal herniorrhaphy in children. Anesthesiology 72:637–639, 1990
- Cepeda MS, Gonzalez F, Granados V, et al: Incidence of nausea and vomiting in outpatients undergoing general anesthesia in relation to selection of intraoperative opioid. J Clin Anesth 8:324–328, 1996
- Chan A, Dore CJ, Ramachandra V: Analgesia for day surgery: Evaluation of the effect of diclofenac given before or after surgery with or without bupivacaine infiltration. Anaesthesia 51:592–595, 1996
- Chilvers CR, Vaghadia H, Mitchell GW, et al: Small-dose hypobaric lidocaine-fentanyl spinal anesthesia for short duration outpatient laparoscopy: Optimal fentanyl dose. Anesth Analg 84 (pt 2):65–70, 1997
- Chirwa SS, MacLeod BA, Day B: Intraarticular bupivacaine (Marcaine) after arthroscopic meniscectomy: A randomized double-blind controlled study. Arthroscopy 5:33– 35, 1989
- 23. Christensen PA, Noreng M, Andersen PE, et al: Electroacupuncture and postoperative pain. Br J Anaesth 62:258–262, 1989
- 24. Chung F: Recovery pattern and home-readiness after ambulatory surgery. Anesth Analg 80:896–902, 1995
- Chung F, Ritchie E, Su J: Postoperative pain in ambulatory surgery. Anesth Analg 85:808–816, 1997
- Claxton AR, McGuire G, Chung F, et al: Evaluation of morphine versus fentanyl for postoperative analgesia after ambulatory surgical procedures. Anesth Analg 84:509– 514, 1997
- Comfort VK, Code WE, Rooney ME, et al: Naproxen premedication reduces postoperative tubal ligation pain. Can J Anaesth 39:349–352, 1992
- Cook TM, Tuckey JP, Nolan JP: Analgesia after day-case knee arthroscopy: Doubleblind study of intra-articular tenoxicam, intra-articular bupivacaine and placebo. Br J Anaesth 78:163–168, 1997
- Crocker S, Paech M: Preoperative rectal indomethacin for analgesia after laparoscopic sterilisation. Anaesth Intensive Care 20:337–340, 1992
- 30. Dahl JB, Kehlet H: Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: Rationale for use in severe postoperative pain. Br J Anaesth 66:703–712, 1991
- Dahl V, Gierloff C, Omland E, et al: Spinal, epidural or propofol anaesthesia for outpatient knee arthroscopy? Acta Anaesth Scand 41:1341–1345, 1997
- 32. De Andres J, Bellver J, Barrera L, et al: A comparative study of analgesia after knee surgery with intraarticular bupivacaine, intraarticular morphine, and lumbar plexus block. Anesth Analg 77:727–730, 1993
- 33. Dierking GW, Dahl JB, Kanstrup J, et al: Effect of pre- vs postoperative inguinal field block on postoperative pain after herniorrhaphy. Br J Anaesth 68:344–348, 1992
- 34. Ding Y, Fredman B, White PF: Use of ketorolac and fentanyl during outpatient gynecologic surgery. Anesth Analg 77:205–210, 1993
- 35. Ding Y, White PF: Comparative effects of ketorolac, dezocine, and fentanyl as adjuvants during outpatient anesthesia [comments]. Anesth Analg 75:566–571, 1992
- Ding Y, White PF: Post-herniorrhaphy pain in outpatients after pre-incision ilioinguinal-hypogastric nerve block during monitored anaesthesia care. Can J Anaesth 42:12– 15, 1995
- 37. Dueholm S, Forrest M, Hjortso E, et al: Pain relief following herniotomy: A doubleblind randomized comparison between naproxen and placebo. Acta Anaesth Scand 33:391–394, 1989
- Dunn TJ, Clark VA, Jones G: Preoperative oral naproxen for pain relief after day-case laparoscopic sterilization. Br J Anaesth 75:12–14, 1995
- Edwards ND, Barclay K, Catling SJ, et al: Day case laparoscopy: A survey of postoperative pain and an assessment of the value of diclofenac. Anaesthesia 46:1077–1080, 1991
- 40. Egan TM, Herman SJ, Doucette EJ, et al: A randomized, controlled trial to determine the effectiveness of fascial infiltration of bupivacaine in preventing respiratory complications after elective abdominal surgery. Surgery 104:734–740, 1988
- 40a. Ejlersen E, Andersen HB, Eliasenk K, et al: A comparison between preincisional and postincisional lidocaine infiltration and postoperative pain. Anesth Analg 74:495– 498, 1992

- Ekblom A, Hansson P, Thomsson M, et al: Increased postoperative pain and consumption of analgesics following acupuncture. Pain 44:241–247, 1991
- 42. Eriksson H: Effect of intravenous ketoprofen on pain after outpatient laparoscopic sterilisation. Acta Anaesth Scand 39:975–978, 1995
- 43. Eriksson H, Tenhunen A, Korttila K: Balanced analgesia improves recovery and outcome after outpatient tubal ligation. Acta Anaesth Scand 40:151–155, 1996
- Fiddes TM, Williams HW, Herbison GP: Evaluation of post-operative analgesia following laparoscopic application of Filshie clips. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 103:1143–1147, 1996
- Forse A, El-Beheiry H, Butler PO, et al: Indomethacin and ketorolac given preoperatively are equally effective in reducing early postoperative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Can J Surg 39:26–30, 1996
- 46. Fortier J, Chung F, Su J: Predictive factors of unanticipated admission in ambulatory surgery: A prospective study. Anesthesiology 85:A27, 1996
- 47. Ghosh S, Sallam S: Patient satisfaction and postoperative demands on hospital and community services after day surgery. Br J Surg 81:1635–1638, 1994
- Gold BS, Kitz DS, Lecky JH, et al: Unanticipated admission to the hospital following ambulatory surgery. JAMA 262:3008–3010, 1989
- Goldstein ET, Williamson PR, Larach SW: Subcutaneous morphine pump for postoperative hemorrhoidectomy pain management. Dis Colon Rectum 36:439–446, 1993
- 50. Goranson BD, Lang S, Cassidy JD, et al: A comparison of three regional anaesthesia techniques for outpatient knee arthroscopy. Can J Anaesth 44:371–376, 1997
- Green CR, Pandit SK, Levy L, et al: Intraoperative ketorolac has an opioid-sparing effect in women after diagnostic laparoscopy but not after laparoscopic tubal ligation. Anesth Analg 82:732-737, 1996
- Greengrass R, O'Brien F, Lyerly K, et al: Paravertebral block for breast cancer surgery. Can J Anaesth 43:858–861, 1996
- Guard BC, Wiltshire SJ: The effect of glycopyrrolate on postoperative pain and analgesic requirements following laparoscopic sterilisation. Anaesthesia 51:1173– 1175, 1996
- 53a. Hannallah RS, Broadman LM, Belman AB, et al: Compression of caudal and ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric nerve blocks for control of postorchiopexy pain in pediatric ambulatory surgery. Anesthesiology 66:832–834, 1987
- Hannibal K, Galatius H, Hansen A, et al: Preoperative wound infiltration with bupivacaine reduces early and late opioid requirement after hysterectomy. Anesth Analg 83:376–381, 1996
- 55. Heard SO, Edwards WT, Ferrari D, et al: Analgesic effect of intraarticular bupivacaine or morphine after arthroscopic knee surgery: A randomized, prospective, doubleblind study. Anesth Analg 74:822–826, 1992
- Heine MF, Tillet ED, Tsueda K, et al: Intra-articular morphine after arthroscopic knee operation. Br J Anaesth 73:413–415, 1994
- Henderson RC, Campion ER, DeMasi RA, et al: Postarthroscopy analgesia with bupivacaine: A prospective, randomized, blinded evaluation. Am J Sports Med 18:614–617, 1990
- Higgins MS, Givogre JL, Marco AP, et al: Recovery from outpatient laparoscopic tubal ligation is not improved by preoperative administration of ketorolac or ibuprofen. Anesth Analg 79:274–280, 1994
- 59. Holley FO, van Steennis C: Postoperative analgesia with fentanyl: Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of constant-rate IV and transdermal delivery. Br J Anaesth 60:608-613, 1988
- 59a. Houle M, McGrath PA, Moran G, et al: The efficacy of hypnosis- and relaxationinduced analgesia on two dimensions of pain for cold pressor and electrical tooth pulp stimulation. Pain 33:241-251, 1988
- 60. Jakobsson J, Rane K, Davidson S: Intramuscular NSAIDs reduce postoperative pain after minor outpatient anaesthesia. Eur J Anesth 13:67–71, 1996
- Jaureguito JW, Wilcox JF, Cohn SJ, et al: A comparison of intraarticular morphine and bupivacaine for pain control after outpatient knee arthroscopy: A prospective, randomized, double-blinded study. Am J Sports Med 23:350–353, 1995
- 62. Joris J, Thiry E, Paris P, et al: Pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Characteristics and effect of intraperitoneal bupivacaine. Anesth Analg 81:379–384, 1995

- 63. Joshi GP, McCarroll SM, Brady OH, et al: Intra-articular morphine for pain relief after anterior cruciate ligament repair. Br J Anaesth 70:87–88, 1993
- Joshi GP, McCarroll SM, Cooney CM, et al: Intra-articular morphine for pain relief after knee arthroscopy. J Bone Joint Surg Br 74:749–751, 1992
- 65. Joshi GP, McCarroll ŠM, O'Brien TM, et al: Intra-articular analgesia following knee arthroscopy. Anesth Analg 76:333–336, 1993
- 66. Juhlin-Dannfelt M, Adamsen S, Olvon E, et al: Premedication with sublingual buprenorphine for out-patient arthroscopy: Reduced need for postoperative pethidine but higher incidence of nausea. Acta Anaesth Scand 39:633–636, 1995
- 67. Kehlet H: Postoperative pain relief: What is the issue? Br J Anaesth 72:375-378, 1994
- 68. Kehlet H, Dahl JB: The value of multimodal or balanced analgesia in postoperative pain treatment. Anesth Analg 77:1048–1056, 1993
- 69. Kehlet H, Mather LE: The value of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in postoperative pain. Drugs 44 (Suppl 5):1-63, 1992
- 70. Khiroya RC, Davenport HT, Jones JG: Cryoanalgesia for pain after herniorrhaphy. Anaesthesia 41:73–76, 1986
- Khoury GF, Chen AC, Garland DE, et al: Intra-articular morphine, bupivacaine, and morphine/bupivacaine for pain control after knee videoarthroscopy. Anesthesiology 77:263–266, 1992
- Laurent SC, Nolan JP, Pozo JL, et al: Addition of morphine to intra-articular bupivacaine does not improve analgesia after day-case arthroscopy. Br J Anaesth 72:170– 173, 1994
- 73. Levack ID, Holmes JD, Robertson GS: Abdominal wound perfusion for the relief of postoperative pain. Br J Anaesth 58:615–619, 1986
- 74. Littlejohn IH, Tarling MM, Flynn PJ, et al: Postoperative pain relief in children following extraction of carious deciduous teeth under general anaesthesia: A comparison of nalbuphine and diclofenac. Eur J Anesth 13:359–363, 1996
- Marquardt HM, Razis PA: Prepacked take-home analgesia for day case surgery. Br J Nurs 5:1114–1118, 1996
- 75a. McCallum MI, Glynn CJ, Moore RA, et al: Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in the management of acute postoperative pain. Br J Anaesth 61:308–312, 1988
- 76. McKenzie R, Phitayakorn P, Uy NT, et al: Topical etidocaine during laparoscopic tubal occlusion for postoperative pain relief. Obstet Gynecol 67:447–449, 1986
- McLoughlin C, McKinney MS, Fee JP, et al: Diclofenac for day-care arthroscopy surgery: Comparison with a standard opioid therapy. Br J Anaesth 65:620–623, 1990
- Michałoliakou C, Chung F, Sharma S: Preoperative multimodal analgesia facilitates recovery after ambulatory laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Anesth Analg 82:44–51, 1996
- Milligan KA, Mowbray MJ, Mulrooney L, et al: Intra-articular bupivacaine for pain relief after arthroscopic surgery of the knee joint in daycase patients. Anaesthesia 43:563–564, 1988
- Morisaki H, Masuda J, Fukushima K, et al: Wound infiltration with lidocaine prolongs postoperative analgesia after haemorrhoidectomy with spinal anaesthesia. Can J Anaesth 43:914–918, 1996
- Morrow BC, Bunting H, Milligan KR: A comparison of diclofenac and ketorolac for postoperative analgesia following day-case arthroscopy of the knee joint [comments]. Anaesthesia 48:585–587, 1993
- 82. Mraovic B, Jurisic T, Kogler-Majeric V, et al: Intraperitoneal bupivacaine for analgesia after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 41:193–196, 1997
- Murrell GC, Leake T, Hughes PJ: A comparison of the efficacy of ketorolac and indomethacin for postoperative analgesia following laparoscopic surgery in day patients. Anaesth Intensive Care 24:237–240, 1996
- 84. Narchi P, Benhamou D, Fernandez H: Intraperitoneal local anaesthetic for shoulder pain after day-case laparoscopy [comments]. Lancet 338:1569–1570, 1991
- Nehra D, Gemmell L, Pye JK: Pain relief after inguinal hernia repair: A randomized, double-blind study. Br J Surg 82:1245–1247, 1995
- Orr RK, Ketcham AS, Robinson DS, et al: Early discharge after mastectomy: A safe way of diminishing hospital costs. Am Surg 53:161–163, 1987
- 86a. Pasqualucci A, de Angelis V, Contardo R, et al: Pre-emptive analgesia: Intraperitoneal local anesthetic in laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Anesthesiology 85:11–20, 1996

- Patel NJ, Flashburg MH, Paskin S, et al: A regional anesthetic technique compared to general anesthesia for outpatient knee arthroscopy. Anesth Analg 65:185–187, 1986
- Rasanayagam R, Harrison G: Preoperative oral administration of morphine in daycase gynaecological laparoscopy. Anaesthesia 51:1179–1181, 1996
- Reid MF, Harris R, Phillips PD, et al: Day-case herniotomy in children: A comparison of ilio-inguinal nerve block and wound infiltration for postoperative analgesia. Anaesthesia 42:658–661, 1987
- Reuben SS, Connelly NR: Postoperative analgesia for outpatient arthroscopic knee surgery with intraarticular bupivacaine and ketorolac [comments]. Anesth Analg 80:1154–1157, 1995
- Reuben SS, Duprat KM: Comparison of wound infiltration with ketorolac versus intravenous regional anesthesia with ketorolac for postoperative analgesia following ambulatory hand surgery. Reg Anesth 21:565–568, 1996
- Reuben SS, Steinberg RB, Cohen MA, et al: Intra-articular morphine in the multimodal analgesic management of postoperative pain after ambulatory anterior cruciate ligament repair. Anesth Analg 86:374–378, 1998
- Rice LJ, Pudimat MA, Hannallah RS: Timing of caudal block placement in relation to surgery does not affect duration of postoperative analgesia in paediatric ambulatory patients. Can J Anaesth 37:429-431, 1990
- 94. Ritchie ED, Tong D, Chung F, et al: Suprascapular nerve block for postoperative pain relief in arthroscopic shoulder surgery: A new modality? Anesth Analg 84:1306– 1312, 1997
- 95. Rosenblum M, Weller RS, Conard PL, et al: Ibuprofen provides longer lasting analgesia than fentanyl after laparoscopic surgery. Anesth Analg 73:255–259, 1991
- Rusy LM, Houck CS, Sullivan LJ, et al. A double-blind evaluation of ketorolac tromethamine versus acetaminophen in pediatric tonsillectomy: Analgesia and bleeding. Anesth Analg 80:226–229, 1995
- Scott NB, Hodson M: Public perceptions of postoperative pain and its relief. Anaesthesia 52:438–442, 1997
- Sevarino FB, Naulty JS, Sinatra R, et al: Transdermal fentanyl for postoperative pain management in patients recovering from abdominal gynecologic surgery. Anesthesiology 77:463–466, 1992
- Singelyn FJ, Gouverneur JM, Robert A: A minimum dose of clonidine added to mepivacaine prolongs the duration of anesthesia and analgesia after axillary brachial plexus block. Anesth Analg 83:1046–1050, 1996
- Smith I, Shively RA, White PF: Effects of ketorolac and bupivacaine on recovery after outpatient arthroscopy. Anesth Analg 75:208–212, 1992
- 101. Smith I, Van Hemelrijck J, White PF, et al: Effects of local anesthesia on recovery after outpatient arthroscopy. Anesth Analg 73:536-539, 1991
- 102. Sorensen TS, Sorensen AI, Strange K: The effect of intra-articular instillation of bupivacaine on postarthroscopic morbidity: A placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. Arthroscopy 7:364–367, 1991
- 103. Splinter WM, Rhine EJ, Roberts DW, et al: Preoperative ketorolac increases bleeding after tonsillectomy in children [comments]. Can J Anaesth 43:560–563, 1996
- 104. Stein C, Comisel K, Haimerl E, et al: Analgesic effect of intraarticular morphine after arthroscopic knee surgery [comments]. N Engl J Med 325:1123–1126, 1991
- 105. Striebel HW, Koenigs D, Kramer J: Postoperative pain management by intranasal demand-adapted fentanyl titration. Anesthesiology 77:281–285, 1992
- Striebel HW, Oelmann T, Spies C, et al: Patient-controlled intranasal analgesia: A method for noninvasive postoperative pain management [comments]. Anesth Analg 83:548-551, 1996
- 107. Sukhani R, Vazquez J, Pappas AL, et al: Recovery after propofol with and without intraoperative fentanyl in patients undergoing ambulatory gynecologic laparoscopy. Anesth Analg 83:975–981, 1996
- Sutters KA, Levine JD, Dibble S, et al: Analgesic efficacy and safety of single-dose intramuscular ketorolac for postoperative pain management in children following tonsillectomy. Pain 61:145–153, 1995
- 109. Tan LR, Guenther JM: Outpatient definitive breast cancer surgery. Am Surg 63:865– 867, 1997
- 110. Tree-Trakarn T, Pirayavaraporn S: Postoperative pain relief for circumcision in chil-

dren: Comparison among morphine, nerve block, and topical analgesia. Anesthesiology 62:519–522, 1985

- 111. Tree-Trakarn T, Pirayavaraporn S, Lertakyamanee J: Topical analgesia for relief of postcircumcision pain. Anesthesiology 67:395–399, 1987
- 112. Tverskoy M, Cozacov C, Ayache M, et al: Postoperative pain after inguinal herniorrhaphy with different types of anesthesia [comments]. Anesth Analg 70:29–35, 1990
- 113. Twersky RS, Lebovits A, Williams C, et al: Ketorolac versus fentanyl for postoperative pain management in outpatients. Clin J Pain 11:127–133, 1995
- 114. Urmey WF, Stanton J, Peterson M, et al: Combined spinal-epidural anesthesia for outpatient surgery: Dose-response characteristics of intrathecal isobaric lidocaine using a 27-gauge Whitacre spinal needle. Anesthesiology 83:528–534, 1995
- Urquhart ML, Klapp K, White PF: Patient-controlled analgesia: A comparison of intravenous versus subcutaneous hydromorphone. Anesthesiology 69:428–432, 1988
- 116. Vaghadia H, McLeod DH, Mitchell GW, et al. Small-dose hypobaric lidocaine-fentanyl spinal anesthesia for short duration outpatient laparoscopy: A randomized comparison with conventional dose hyperbaric lidocaine. Anesth Analg 84:59–64, 1997
- 117. Valijan A: Pain relief after tonsillectomy: Effect of benzydamine hydrochloride spray on postoperative pain relief after tonsillectomy. Anaesthesia 44:990–991, 1989
- Van Ee R, Hemrika DJ, De Blok S, et al: Effects of ketoprofen and mesosalpinx infiltration on postoperative pain after laparoscopic sterilization. Obstet Gynecol 88:568–572, 1996
- 119. Victory RA, Gajraj NM, Van Elstraete A, et al: Effect of preincision versus postincision infiltration with bupivacaine on postoperative pain. J Clin Anesth 7:192–196, 1995
- 120. Wang B, Tang J, White PF, et al: Effect of the intensity of transcutaneous acupoint electrical stimulation on the postoperative analgesic requirement. Anesth Analg 85:406-413, 1997
- 121. Watcha MF, White PF: Postoperative nausea and vomiting: Its etiology, treatment, and prevention. Anesthesiology 77:162–184, 1992
- 122. White AP, Laurent S, Wilkinson DJ: Intra-articular and subcutaneous prilocaine with adrenaline for pain relief in day-case arthroscopy of the knee joint. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 72:350–352, 1990
- 123. White PF: Subcutaneous-PCA: An alternative to IV-PCA for postoperative pain management. Clin J Pain 6:297-300, 1990
- 124. White PF: Ambulatory anesthesia and surgery: Past, present and future. In White PW (ed): Ambulatory Anesthesia and Surgery. Philadelphia, WB Saunders, 1997, pp 3–34
- 125. Windsor A, McDonald P, Mumtaz T, et al: The analgesic efficacy of tenoxicam versus placebo in day-case laparoscopy: A randomized, parallel, double-blind trial. Anaesthesia 51:1066–1069, 1996
- 126. Wolf AR, Valley RD, Fear DW, et al: Bupivacaine for caudal analgesia in infants and children: The optimal effective concentration. Anesthesiology 69:102–106, 1988
- 127. Wong HY, Carpenter RL, Kopacz DJ, et al: A randomized, double-blind evaluation of ketorolac tromethamine for postoperative analgesia in ambulatory surgery patients [comments]. Anesthesiology 78:6–14, 1993
- 128. Wong J, Chung F, Ritchie E, et al: Should morphine be given intraoperatively or postoperatively in painful ambulatory surgery? Can J Anaesth (pt 3):23A, 1998
- 129. Wrench IJ, Taylor P, Hobbs GJ: Lack of efficacy of intra-articular opioids for analgesia after day-case arthroscopy. Anaesthesia 51:920–922, 1996
- Yndgaard S, Holst P, Bjerre-Jepsen K, et al: Subcutaneously versus subfascially administered lidocaine in pain treatment after inguinal herniotomy. Anesth Analg 79:324– 327, 1994

Address reprint requests to Doris Tong, MD, MSc-HRM, FRCPC Department of Anaesthesia Western Division, The Toronto Hospital 399 Bathurst Street Toronto, Ontario Canada M5T 258

e-mail: doris.tong@utoronto.ca